

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

un

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,585	03/15/2004	Yoshiya Tomatsu	119090 8277	
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 08/10/200 RIDGE, PLC	, . ·	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 19928			GRAINGER, QUANA MASHELL	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2852	
•	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/10/2007 .	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/799,585	TOMATSU	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Quana M. Grainger	2852	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Jt 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,21,26 and 27 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,21,26 and 27 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
	•		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	

Application/Control Number: 10/799,585

Art Unit: 2852

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-7, 21, and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taguchi et al. in view of Kellie et al. in view of Kosugi et al. Taguchi et al. teaches a thermal fixing device comprising a fixing member 1, a first pressing member 13, and second pressing member 14 (figures 2-3; column 5, lines 33-57). The fixing member and the first pressing member apply the temperature to the interface at a position most downstream in the conveyance direction in a contact portion between the fixing member and the first pressing member. The first and second pressing members are surrounded by a belt (figure 1).

Taguchi et al. does not discuss the temperature of the fixing nip wherein the temperature of the first pressing member and the fixing member where they face is not lower than a glass transition point of a developer or dry toner.

Kellie et al. teaches that the fixing nip should be above the glass transition point or softening of the developer (column 10, lines 9-12).

Kosugi et al. teaches the use of dry toner in an image forming apparatus that uses a pressure and heating roller fixing device.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teaching of Kellie et al. with the image forming device of Taguchi et al. to set the appropriate temperature for the fixing device.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teaching of Taguchi et al. with the image forming device of Kosugi et al. to obtain satisfactory nip width by a thin fixing roller, to shorten the starting time, and to acquire an excellent fixing performance by providing the nip for heating and pressing by two rolls of pressure rollers severally composed of elastic body on front and rear of the nip formed by a belt. set the appropriate temperature for the fixing device (Taguchi et al.; abstract).

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### **Contact Information**

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quana M. Grainger whose telephone number is 571-272-2135. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Gray can be reached on 571-272-2119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2852

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Quana M Grainger Primary Examiner Art Unit 2852